

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/008,670	Applicant(s) TSONTZIDIS ET AL.	
	Examiner Philip H Leung	Art Unit 3742	

All Participants:

(1) Philip H Leung.

(2) James Witherspoon.

Status of Application: ____

(3) ____.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 2 March 2005

Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

35, 107, 116, 117 and 119

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The attorney agreed to amend claim 35 to remove its dependency from cancelled claims 3 and 89 and to amend claims 107, 116, 117 and 119 to remove the improper multiple dependency on claim 106 or 115, respectively, by an Examiner's Amendment (see the Amendment for details)..